TAB 1

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS

In re InaCom Corp. / InaCom Corp. v. Dell Computer Corp. (Bankr. No. 00-2426 / Adv. No. 04-cv-582)

Plaintiff's Trial Exhibits

receipt in evidence on the grounds stated.: The following exhibits were offered by Plaintiff and marked for identification. Defendant objected to their

4	υ)	2		Ex.
04/27/2000	05/27/2005	04/19/2005		Date
InaCom Bank Presentation	Rebuttal Report prepared by Dean R. Vomero of Bridge Associates LLC – Response to Duff & Phelps LLC/Sasco Hill Advisors, Inc. InaCom Corp., Valuation Analysis	Report prepared by Dean R. Vomero of Bridge Associates LLC– InaCom Corp. and Affiliated Entities Insolvency/Valuation Analysis as of March 25, 2000	Intentionally omitted	Description
DE 004400 – DE 004414				Bates
Gagliardi 7 Vomero 17	Vomero 3	Vomero 2		Depo. Ex.
	Hearsay, R. 802; Unreliable principles/methods and principle/methods unreliably applied, R. 702	Hearsay, R. 802; Unreliable principles/methods and principle/methods unreliably applied, R. 702		Dell's Objections
				Adm.

∞	7	6	SI	Ex.
	04/29/2005	05/02/2005	05/01/2000	Date
Liquidation Analysis from Disclosure Statement	Expert Report prepared by Stuart A. Gollin of Weiser LLP	Expert Report prepared by Francis X. Devine of Murray Devine Co.	Blackstone Confidential Information Memorandum	Description
			DE 012457 – DE 012583; BSG 0332 – BSG 0386	Bates
	Gollin 1 LaRocca 4	Devine 1		Depo. Ex.
Hearsay, R. 802. Unfairly prejudicial, R. 403	Hearsay, R. 802. Unreliable principles/methods and principle/methods unreliably applied, R. 702	Hearsay, R. 802	Hearsay, R. 802; Not relevant, R. 402; Unfairly prejudicial, R. 403	Dell's Objections
				Adm.

Ex.	Date	Description	Bates	Depo. Ex.	Dell's Objections	Adm.
9		Updated liquidation analysis from			This document has not	
		Gilmour			previously been disclosed or made	
					available in discovery.	
					Accordingly, since this	_
 		\$P\$\$P\$\$P\$\$P\$\$P\$\$P\$\$P\$\$P\$\$P\$\$P\$\$P\$\$P\$\$P\$			document was not	
					provided in discovery,	
				_	Dell objects to its	
					admission in evidence.	
					See Fed. R. Civ. P.	
					37(c). Additionally,	-
					Dell has not been	
					provided with a copy of	
			_		this document.	
· = <u>· =</u>					I liefelore, Dell has had	
					review the document	
					and assert other	
					appropriate objections	

	Ex.	Date	Description	Bates	Depo. Ex.	Dell's Objections	Adm.
	10		Demonstrative – make-up of			This document has not	
			outstanding unsecured creditors			previously been	
			Q			disclosed or made	
						available in discovery.	
						Accordingly, since this	
11			The first of the control of the cont			document was not	
						provided in discovery,	
						Dell objects to its	
						admission in evidence.	
						See Fed. R. Civ. P.	
						37(c). Additionally,	
						Dell has not been	
						provided with a copy of	
						this document.	
						Therefore, Dell has had	
						no opportunity to	
						review the document	
	-					and assert other	
						appropriate objections	
	11		Intentionally omitted				

		Not relevant, R. 402. Hearsay, R. 802		CPQ/BG 2545 – CPQ/BG 2547	Email from A. Karsnia to B. Wells, et al.	05/24/2000	14
		As to minutes after 4/22/00: Not relevant, R. 402	Oshlo 16 Vomero 19	ICN 19504 – ICN 19590	Package of InaCom Corp. Board of Directors Meeting Minutes from 02/28/2000 to 07/25/2000		13
		and assert other appropriate objections					
. 		review the document					
		Therefore, Dell has had					
<u> </u>		provided with a copy of this document.					
		Dell has not been					
		37(c). Additionally,					
		See Fed. R. Civ. P.					
		Dell objects to its admission in evidence.					
		provided in discovery,					
		document was not					
		Accordingly, since this					
 -		disclosed or made			机分离 化水洗板 化超级 人名英格兰 医超级性性外枢性性 经人工		
		previously been					
-	_	document has not					
-		disclosures. This					
		in expert witness			(
		Not previously disclosed			Bridge demonstrative charts/graphs		12
-	Adm.	Dell's Objections	Depo. Ex.	Bates	Description	Date	Ex.
	_ \	ה זוי מייי					

	Ex.	Date	Description	Bates	Depo. Ex.	Dell's Objections
	15	01/04/2000	Asset Purchase Agreement among Compaq Computer Corp., ITY Corp. and InaCom Corp.	00674 - 00815	Fitzpatrick 20	
	16		chec			Document is not sufficiently described to
:: !:						allow Dell to identify. Therefore, Dell has had no opportunity to review the document and assert appropriate objections
	17	03/15/2000	Accounts Payable Schedule as of 02/12/2000 and attached AP register			Document is not sufficiently described to allow Dell to identify. Therefore, Dell has had no opportunity to review the document and assert appropriate objections
	18	02/15/2000	Compaq Computer Corp. Revolving Credit Facility Commitment Letter to InaCom Corp.	01067 - 01110	Fitzpatrick 18 Wells 10	
	19		Intentionally omitted			

	Ex.	Date	Description	Bates	Depo. Ex.	Dell's Objections	Adm.
	20	02/16/2000	Services, Supply and Sales Agreement among Compaq Computer Corp., ITY Corp. and InaCom Corp.	CA 1 – CA 18; 00817 – 00834	Dugan 5 Kerkman 1 Samuelson 9 Wells 8		
	21	02/16/2000	Separation and Sharing Agreement between ITY Corp. and InaCom Corp.	00873 - 00943	Dugan 6 Oshlo 18 Samuelson 10		
	22	02/16/2000	InaCom Services Service Level Agreement with Compaq Computer Corp.	00836 - 00871	Fitzpatrick 17 Vomero 28		
	23	02/16/2000	Intercreditor Agreement among Deutsche Bank AG New York, Compaq Computer Corp. and IBM Credit Corporation	Wells 1 – Wells 34	Oshlo 17		
	24a	01/04/2000	Third Amendment and Waiver among InaCom Corp., the Banks, IBM Credit Corp., Banque Nationale de Paris and Deutsche Bank AG, New York Branch	00953 - 00974	Fitzpatrick 14 Oshlo 2 Samuelson 1 Vomero 22 Wood 2		

		Wood 18		Wavro to T. Fitzpatrick re: collections on receivables owned by		
		Gagliardi 4	DE 000541	Letter from C. Anderson and B.	04/27/2000	27
		Oshlo 14	InaCom 003257; 014135	Memo from R. Oshlo to T. Fitzpatrick re: status of held checks and revolver	04/04/2000	26
		Oshlo 15	ICN 14296 - ICN 14297; 014001 - 014002	Memo from R. Oshlo to T. Fitzpatrick re: Accessing Compaq Revolving Credit Facility	03/24/2000	25
		Oshlo 20	DE 010820 - DE 010833	Sixth Amendment and Waiver among InaCom Corp., the Banks, IBM Credit Corp., Banque Nationale de Paris and Deutsche Bank AG, New York Branch	04/14/2000	24d
		Oshlo 19	DE 001601 – DE 001611	Fifth Amendment and Waiver among InaCom Corp., the Banks, IBM Credit Corp., Banque Nationale de Paris and Deutsche Bank AG, New York Branch	03/31/2000	24c
- 1		Fitzpatrick 15 Gagliardi 10 Oshlo 5 Samuelson 2 Wood 3	00976 - 00993	Fourth Amendment and Waiver among InaCom Corp., the Banks, IBM Credit Corp., Banque Nationale de Paris and Deutsche Bank AG, New York Branch	02/15/2000	24b
Adm.	Dell's Objections	Depo. Ex.	Bates	Description	Date	Ex.

Document 67-2

Ex.	Date	Description	Bates	Depo. Ex.	Dell's Objections
28	04/28/2000	Notice of Borrowing from InaCom to Deutsche Bank	DE 000702 – DE 000703	Oshlo 21 Vomero 30	
29	05/10/2000	Treasury Released Checks by Date	FTI 000752 – FTI 000755	Dugan 9 Horton 6 Oshlo 10	
30	6/2000	from B. Wavro to T. trick waiving a portion of the ing Credit Facility itment Letter	2.41	Gagliardi 5	
31	05/26/2000	Letter from R. Wood and M. Cheever to C. Anderson re: continuing investigation of the misdirected funds	DE 002845 - DE 002846	Wood 23	
32	03/24/2003	Disclosure Statement and Plan of Liquidation			Not Relevant, R. 402. Hearsay, R. 802. Unfairly prejudicial, R. 403

۱۵.	
33	Ex.
11/12/2004	Date
Defendant Dell Computer Corporation's Objections and Responses to Plaintiff's Requests for Admission, Interrogatories and Requests for Production	Description
	Bates
Horton 2 Keller 6 LaRocca 3	Depo. Ex.
extent of any objections or claims of privilege asserted in Dell's Objections and Responses to Plaintiff's Requests for Admission, Interrogatories, and Requests for Production under R. 402 and R. 403. Dell also objects to any answers or responses that are not relevant to any claim or fact at issue in this litigation	Dell's Objections
	Adm.

34	Ex.
12/07/2004	Date
Defendant Dell Computer Corporation's Objections and Responses to Plaintiff's Second Set of Interrogatories to Defendant	Description
	Bates
Keller 7	Depo. Ex.
Dell objects to the extent of any objections or claims of privilege asserted in Dell's Objections and Responses to Plaintiff's Requests for Admission, Interrogatories, and Requests for Production under R. 402 and R. 403. Dell also objects to any answers or responses that are not relevant to any claim or fact at	Dell's Objections
	Adm.

Ex.	Date	Description	Bates	Depo. Ex.	Dell's Objections	Adm.
35	05/03/2005	Defendant Dell Computer			Dell objects to the	
-	_	Corporation's Supplemental			extent of any objections	
		Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of			or claims of privilege	
		Interrogatories			asserted in Dell's	
		q			Objections and	
		The second secon			Responses to Plaintiff's	-
					Requests for	
				_	Admission,	
					Interrogatories, and	
•	-				Requests for	
-					Production under R.	
					402 and R. 403. Dell	
					also objects to any	
					answers or responses	
<u>.</u>					that are not relevant to	
<u> </u>					any claim or fact at	
_	-				issue in this litigation	
						_

Ex.	Date	Description	Bates	Depo. Ex.	Dell's Objections	Adm.
36	06/17/2005	Defendant Dell Computer Cornoration's Second Supplemental			Dell objects to the extent of any objections	
		Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of			or claims of privilege	
		Interrogatories			asserted in Dell's	
		a			Objections and	
					Responses to Plaintiff's	
					Requests for	
-					Admission,	
					Interrogatories, and	
					Requests for	
					Production under R.	
					402 and R. 403. Dell	
					also objects to any	
					answers or responses	
					that are not relevant to	
					any claim or fact at	
					issue in this litigation	
					under R. 402	
37	_	Intentionally omitted				
1						
46						

	Ex.	Date	Description	Bates	Depo. Ex.	Dell's Objections	Adm.
	47	03/14/2000	Email from Gagliardi to Winkler complaining about no incremental service revenue	CPQ/INA 16141 – CPQ/INA 16142		Hearsay, R. 802; Dell also objects because the document appears redacted and altered from its original form,	
11						pursuant to R. 1002 and R. 1003	
	48	10/20/2000	Complaint – Custom Edge v. InaCom, et al. (Adv. Case # 00- 1684)				
	49	04/18/2000	Email from Hansen to Oshlo et al. re: split of AR receipts	ICM 036584 – ICM 036585			
	50	05/17/2000	InaCom Press Release	CPQ/BG 0002983		Not Relevant, R. 402. Unfairly prejudicial, R. 403	
	51	05/17/2000	Moody's Press Release	CPQ/BG 0002980 - CPQ/BG 0002982		Not relevant, R. 402. Unfairly prejudicial, R. 403	
	52	05/09/2000	Facsimile from Wood to Gagliardi	DE 10496 - DE 10499		Not Relevant, R. 402. Hearsay, R. 802	

				InaCom Asset Purchase Schedules Summary as of 02/12/2000	03/15/2000	56
			IN 00013 – IN 00015	First Amendment to Asset Purchase Agreement	02/16/2000	55
				Intentionally omitted		54
	appropriate objections					
	and assert other					
	review the document					,
	no opportunity to					
	Therefore, Dell has had					
	this document.					
	provided with a copy of					
	Dell has not been					-
	37(c). Additionally,					
	See Fed. R. Civ. P.				_	
	admission in evidence.	_				
	Dell objects to its					
	provided in discovery,					:
	document was not					: :
	Accordingly, since this					
	available in discovery.					
	disclosed or made			•		
	previously been			Fitzpatrick narrative		
	This document has not			Demonstrative – supporting		53
TIID.	Den 8 Objections	реро. ех.	Bates	Description	Date	Ex.
MΡV	Dell's Objections	Dama Eu	Patas			1

Ex. 57	Date 06/2000	Description Asset Purchase Agree (unexecuted) dated as	ement s of June	Bates ement s of June	ine
7	06/2000	Asset Purchase Agreement (unexecuted) dated as of June 2000 by and between Compucom and Marlin	m j	Jm (
58	06/19/2000	Affidavit of Thomas J. Fitzpatrick in Support of First Day Orders	~	k	K
59		Summary Act vs. Bud		DE 002975	DE 002975
60	03/27/2000	InaCom Press Release		DB 008602	DB 008602
61	05/01/2000	Blackstone Project Adams Debtor in Possession Model report	r	BSG 0053 - BSG 0064	

	Not Relevant, R. 402		InaCom 10126 – InaCom 10127	Minutes of InaCom Board of Directors Meeting	04/26/2000	67
	Not relevant, R. 402. Hearsay, R. 802. Unfairly prejudicial, R. 403		CPQ/BG 0007108	Email from R. Conklin re: InaCom Update	12/09/1999	66
	Not relevant, R. 402. Hearsay, R. 802. Unfairly prejudicial, R. 403		DE 011691	Memo from P. Hatfield to mailing list	04/28/2000	65
	Not relevant, R. 402. Hearsay, R. 802. Unfairly prejudicial, R. 403		CPQ/INA 0003235	Email from K. Edwards to M. Hall	05/27/2000	64
	Not relevant, R. 402. Hearsay, R. 802		CPQ/BG 0001875	Email from J. Samuelson to C. Anderson	01/01/2000	63
	therefore, this document is not complete, R. 1002 and R. 1003			; ;	:	:
	Hearsay, R. 802. Dell also objects to authenticity in that it appears there is additional related information omitted:		FTI 000652	A/P Weekly Balancing to A/P Aging Report	03/24/2000	62
Adm.	Dell's Objections	Depo. Ex.	Bates	Description	Date	Ex.

		Horton 4 Keller 12		Remarketer/Integrator Agreement between Dell Marketing and Vanstar	08/10/1994	75
		Horton 3; Keller 11		Examples of invoices from Dell to InaCom		74
	Not relevant, R. 402. Unfairly prejudicial, R. 403. Hearsay, R. 802		BSG 0069 – BSG 0076	Blackstone Group Q2 – Q3 consolidated Summary and backup		73
	Not relevant, R. 402. Hearsay, R. 802. Unfairly prejudicial, R. 403		BSG 0065 - BSG 0068	Blackstone Group Jan Actual – April Actual	04/30/2000	72
	Not relevant, R. 402. Unfairly prejudicial, R. 403. Hearsay, R. 802		BSG 0001 - BSG 0026	Blackstone Project Addams Reorganization Model	05/01/2000	71
		Gagliardi 11 Oshlo 6	005427 - 005429	Wiring Instructions for Compaq- InaCom Closing	02/16/2000	70
	Not relevant, R. 402. Hearsay, R. 802		005232 - 005242	Memo from T. Molchan re: Board Meeting materials	06/01/2000	69
	Not Relevant, R. 402. Hearsay, R. 802. Unfairly prejudicial, R. 403		005224 - 005231	Letter from B. Wavro to T. Fitzpatrick with attached Statement	06/14/2000	8
Adm.	Dell's Objections	Depo. Ex.	Bates	Description	Date	Ex.

		Keller 16		Series of Collection Letters and Customer Care Notices from Dell	00/00/0000	83
	Cumulative of stipulation in Pre-Trial Order			Wire transfer records for wire to Dell parties for \$806,278.00	03/31/2000	82
	Cumulative of stipulation in Pre-Trial Order	Keller 9		Cancelled checks to Dell	05/23/2002	81
	Not relevant, R. 402	Keller 3		Amended Answer of Dell	12/29/2004	80
	Not relevant, R. 402	Keller 2		Original Answer of Dell	06/11/2002	79
	Not relevant, R. 402. Hearsay, R. 802. Unfairly prejudicial, R. 403	Keller 1		Summons and Complaint against Dell	05/16/2002	78
	Dell objects to letter reflecting correspondence between counsel. Not Relevant, R. 402	Horton 8	(InaCom/Dell received 4/18/2005) 00001 – 00033	Fax from S. Streusand to E. Forte forwarding unredacted emails from M. Horton's records (attached)	04/18/2005	77
	Dell objects to letter reflecting correspondence between counsel. Not Relevant, R. 402	Horton 7 Thompson 1	(InaCom/Dell Received 4/1/05) 00004 – 00086	Letter from S. Streusand to E. Forte enclosing additional document production obtained from M. Horton's records (attached)	04/01/2005	76
Adm.	Dell's Objections	Depo. Ex.	Bates	Description	Date	Ex.

90	89	88	87	86		85	84	Ex.
	0		0		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0		
	04/05/2005	04/28/2005	04/28/2005	05/30/2002		05/26/2005		Date
	005	005	005	002		005		
Exhibits marked at the Deposition of Dean Vomero taken on 07/27/2005	Emails re: MicroAge Reports	Expert Report prepared by John LaRocca	Expert Report prepared by Stephen H. Thomas of Lain, Faulkner & Co., P.C.	Lain Faulkner & Co. Engagement Letter	by Michael L. Newsom of Bridge Associates	Rebuttal Expert Report prepared	Houlihan Lokey Howard & Zukin work papers	Description
								Bates
	LaRocca 7	Gollin 4 LaRocca 1	LaRocca 2 Newsom 3 Thomas 2	Thomas 1	Newsom 1 Thomas 3	LaRocca 5		Depo. Ex.
There are multiple exhibits to Mr. Vomero's deposition. Plaintiff's general designation of these exhibits is not sufficient to allow Dell to assert specific objections to individual exhibits					Unreliable principles/methods and principle/methods unreliably applied, R. 702	Hearsay, R. 802.		Dell's Objections
								Adm.

Ex.	Date	Description	Bates	Depo. Ex.	Dell's Objections	Adm
91		Exhibits marked at the Deposition			There are multiple	
		of Jason F. Fensterstock taken on			exhibits to Mr.	
		07/28/2005			Fensterstock's	
•					deposition. Plaintiff's	
					general designation of	
		经银行股份 医多种性性 医甲状腺 医甲状腺 医甲状腺 医甲状腺素 医甲状腺			these exhibits is not	
					sufficient to allow Dell	
					to assert specific	
					objections to individual	
					exhibits	
92	07/06/2005	Dell Privilege Log			Not relevant, R. 402.	
					Unfairly prejudicial, R.	
					403. Moreover, the	-
					privilege log identified	
	-				is not most current. In	-
					addition, Dell objects	_
					inadmissible comment	
						_
					on the claim of privilege	
93	05/23/2003	Bankruptcy Court's Order			Not relevant, R. 402	
-		Confirming Debtors' Liquidating Plan		•		

94	Ex. Date	
All exhibits identified by the Debtors in the separate adversary proceedings against Lexmark International, Inc. (Adversary No. 02-3500), Ingram Entertainment Corp. (Adversary No. 02-3960) and Tech Data Corp. (Adversary No. 02-3496) relevant to the issue of insolvency and any other issue relevant to this adversary proceeding	Description	
	Bates	
	Depo. Ex.	The same and
Documents are insufficiently identified for Dell to make an objection, except relevance as to Dell, R. 402, which Dell conditionally asserts. In addition, such exhibits have not been provided to Dell. Therefore, Dell is unable to prepare specific objections to individual documents	Dell's Objections	
	Adm	

Ex.	Date	Description	Bates	Depo. Ex.	Dell's Objections	Adm.
95		Exhibits that may consist of			Documents are	
		documents produced or identified			insufficiently identified	
		later in connection with this			for Dell to make an	
		adversary proceeding before trial			objection, except	
					relevance as to Dell, R.	
		##### 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			402, which Dell	
					conditionally asserts.	
					Additionally, fact	
					discovery closed on	
					March 51, 2005. 10 the	
					identified that were not	
					disclosed in discovery,	
					Dell objects to the	
					admission of such	
					documents. See Fed. R.	
					Civ. P. 37(c). Dell also	
					objects to the admission	
					of documents not set	
					forth on Plaintiff's	
					Exhibit List, and Dell	
					objects to the admission	
					of documents not timely	
					identified	